



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/152,008	09/11/1998	SIG H. BADT JR.	ALCA1100-6	8622	
25537	7590 02/14/2003				
WORLDCOM, INC.			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
1133 19TH ST			HARPER,	HARPER, KEVIN C	
WASHINGIC	ON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2000		

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1~

			$I_{\mathcal{A}}$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
67	09/152,008	BADT, SIG H.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin C. Harper	2666	- · ·		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	December 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			rits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-18</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-10</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 1998</u> is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_)		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			cation)		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has bee	n received.	cation).		
Attachment(s)	ac priority under 35 0.3.6. 89	, 120 anu/01 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/152,008

Art Unit: 2664

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 02 December 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/152,008 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 11-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah et al. (US 5,646,936) in view of Brady (US 6,041,049)...

1. Regarding claims 11-12 and 15-16, Shah discloses a method of network restoration comprising mapping a topology of spare capacity (Figure 5; col. 5, lines 66-67) of several spare links interconnecting several nodes (col. 6, lines 16-20). However, Shah does not disclose transmitting the information to an origin node. Brady discloses transmitting topology information to an origin node (Figure 1; Figure 5, step 24; col. 2, lines 48-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to exchange spare capacity information in the invention of Shah in order to provide each node in the network with the available spare capacity of the network. Further, Shah in view of Brady does not disclose identifying port numbers. One skilled in the art would recognize that a cross-connect

Application/Control Number: 09/152,008

Art Unit: 2664

switch typically has port numbers identifying connections to different working paths and spare paths (Shah, col. 5, lines 18-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to identify the spare capacity by port number in the invention of Shah in view of Brady in order to distinguish between the various links connected to the node.

2. Regarding claims 13 and 17, Shah in view of Brady discloses a message (Shah, Figure 8) including an identification of a node (item 703) and a field indicating that the node is a custodial node (item 703; col. 7, lines 3-6). However, Shah in view of Brady does not disclose that the message contains port numbers. One skilled in the art would recognize that a cross-connect switch typically has port numbers identifying connections to different working paths and spare paths (Shah, col. 5, lines 18-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to identify the spare capacity by port number in the invention of Shah in view of Brady in order to distinguish between the various links connected to the node.

Claims 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah et al. in view of Brady et al., as applied to claims 13 or 17 above, in further view of Fisher (US 4,941,089).

Regarding claim 14 and 18, Shah in view of Brady discloses a message type field for a packet (shah, Figure 8, item 701). However, Shah in view of Brady does not disclose that the message type field indicates the packet is a continuously exchanged keep-alive packet. Fisher disclose a message type field that indicates a continuously exchanged keep-alive packet (col. 29, lines 28-33; col. 33, lines 23-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have a message type field to identify a packet as a keep-alive packet in the invention of Shah in view of Brady in order for a receiving node to identify

Application/Control Number: 09/152,008

Art Unit: 2664

the packet and perform the proper operation on the packet (i.e. allow the status of the link to

indicate activity and not a fault or failure).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 703-305-0139. The

examiner can normally be reached weekdays, except Wednesday, from 9:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 703-308-5463. The fax number for Technology Center (TC) 2600 is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office for TC 2600 at 703-306-0377.

Kevin C. Harper

09 February 2003

SEEMA S. RAO 2/10/03 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600